



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_7570
Title of the Manuscript:	Stakeholders' Perception on Self Help Group's Quality Parameters: A Psychometrics Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author needs to make clear whether the work is a meta-analysis or an original research.	The study was exploratory in nature with Meta Analysis as well as Psychometric Approach based on empiricism. Reference is also made in manuscript and coloured yellow mark
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The author-reference is not unclear. Clarity must be in place if it is an author or authors. In the text, "we" is used whereas in the final it is "I".	Properly addressed in manuscript and coloured yellow mark
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Research report format be followed. The tense should also be one step back (simple past). some of the points are loosely set with no literature supporting them (Lines 153 & 162)	Properly addressed in manuscript and coloured yellow mark Reference is incerted